

**From:** Lisa Fry [lfry@subsidiiumhealthcare.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 10, 2014 2:15 PM  
**To:** Summers, Mike; 'BMPatton@aol.com'  
**Subject:** RE: LHA Caucus -- question

Becky - thanks for your questions. I think others also have the same question about the majority on the vote.

We'll definitely build that specific question into our overall discussion of next steps.

See you tonight. Lisa

Lisa Fry  
 Partner



[www.SubsidiiumHealthcare.com](http://www.SubsidiiumHealthcare.com)  
 O: 404.549.7769, x102 | M: 404.538.1115 | F: 678.705.4783  
 E: [lfry@subsidiiumhealthcare.com](mailto:lfry@subsidiiumhealthcare.com)  
 3060 Peachtree Road, Suite 1050 | Atlanta, GA 30305

**From:** Summers, Mike [mailto:Mike.Summers@lakewoodoh.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 10, 2014 1:23 PM  
**To:** 'BMPatton@aol.com'; Lisa Fry  
**Subject:** RE: LHA Caucus -- question

Becky,

Excellent points. Let's discuss this tonight. No decisions will be made tonight. Our objective is to share as much info as possible for trustees to ponder, identify questions or additional information requirements. It would be appropriate to include decision making process as part of tonight's agenda. You and I will make sure we do so.

Mike Summers

**From:** [BMPatton@aol.com](mailto:BMPatton@aol.com) [mailto:[BMPatton@aol.com](mailto:BMPatton@aol.com)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 10, 2014 1:13 PM  
**To:** [lfry@subsidiiumhealthcare.com](mailto:lfry@subsidiiumhealthcare.com); Summers, Mike  
**Subject:** Re: LHA Caucus -- question

Hello Mayor and Lisa

I have been thinking about our decision and the process to reach a final decision. Not sure if you have plans yet to discuss this with us but I would like to recommend we spend some time talking through the decision process. Maybe we have and I have missed it.

Two specific areas that I am concerned about. First the vote has to be more than a simple majority. I would like to recommend 2/3 majority which can be challenged if our governing documents state something else. Secondly I am concerned that the issue of conflict of interest can be raised after the vote is taken by individuals not liking the decision. I think I am almost there that I would be comfortable if the conflicts were declared by the individuals but that they still could vote. I know at the end of the day City Council has the heavy lift. I just think our process to that point should be easily defensible.

I would be happy to raise this to the board if and when you think is appropriate.

Thanks  
 Becky