

From: [Bullock, Tom](#)
To: [Gilman, Scott](#)
Cc: [McEwen, Colin](#)
Subject: Re: subjects to address emanating from last night's council meeting
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 12:11:32 PM

Excellent point chief. Colin can we include Chief Gilman's argument in the response? And I suggest he's our lead spokesman on ER questions

On Dec 8, 2015, at 11:38 AM, Gilman, Scott <Scott.Gilman@lakewoodoh.net> wrote:

One thing that has not been explained is how will the underserved be less underserved if we still have an ER? They will still go to the ER like they always have. If this works as it could there should be less need for ER visits.

From: Bullock, Tom
Sent: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 11:33 AM
To: Hagan, Mary
Cc: Summers, Mike; Anderson, David; Marx, Cynthia; Juris, Shawn; Madigan, Mary; Bach, Maureen; Smith, Monique; Nowlin, Ryan; O'Leary, Sam; Baker, Luann; Beno, Joe; Butler, Kevin; Coletta, Michael; Gelsomino, Antoinette; Gilman, Scott; Malley, Tim; Pae, Jennifer; Siley, Dru; Strachan, Shannon; Yousefi, Jean; McEwen, Colin; Eileen Korey (ek@eileenkorey.com)
Subject: Re: subjects to address emanating from last night's council meeting

Mary adds the Metro hospital issue (could that option be resurrected?).

I remember one more point raised: allegedly the vote on Issue 64 was skewed due to high turnout by affluent voters and low turnout by lower income residents, those underserved most likely to be harmed by closure.

Response: the recent election showed no groundswell of support for the referendum approach. A majority of Lakewood voters are comfortable with the deliberative approach being followed by the city's elected leaders. In addition, views on the hospital don't divide by geography or income.

On Dec 8, 2015, at 9:12 AM, Hagan, Mary <Mary.Hagan@lakewoodoh.net> wrote:

The turn down if Metro too was still launched.
Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 8, 2015, at 8:51 AM, Summers, Mike <Mike.Summers@lakewoodoh.net> wrote:

Members of City council and directors,

My notes yielded the following topics that we should address at the December 14th special city council meeting:

plan will be an economic disaster for lakewood- will cause loss of service?
this is corporate control of government?

will underserve the poor?
no consideration for environmental impact?
doubtful commitment from CCF to lakewood?
Huron report- says we can support a hospital.
How does avon, pop 20,000, deserve a hospital when we do not?
Why did you not consider the Surgical Development Partners?

No doubt you picked up a few other themes.

I will take on the economic impact theme. Shannon Strachan is pulling past notes on the serving the poor theme. We have much material to work with on this.

The Huron Report is straight forward. Tom Bullock's inquiry in September for clarification is a good material.

I will research the population market of Lorain, Erie, Huron and Sandusky Counties- the catchment market for the Avon hospital.

Anyone interested in the others on this list or not on this list?

I suggest we take the first portion of your meeting and address these issues and any others that you feel are needed before opening up the floor.

I was expecting what we heard last night. The tone is taking up a higher emotional appeal, a "david vs Goliath(CCF)"

Mike Summers

Michael P Summers
Mayor, City of Lakewood, Ohio
216-529-6600
Mike.summers@lakewoodoh.net